Henry Walker (615) 252-2363 Fax (615) 252-6363 Email hwalker@boultcummings.com

August 12, 2005 CKET ROOM

Ron Jones, Chairman Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Pkwy. Nashville, TN 37243

Re:

Petition to Establish Generic Docket to Consider Amendments to Interconnection

Agreements Resulting from Changes of Law

Docket Number: 04-00381

Dear Chairman Jones:

٤,

For the Authority's information, CompSouth files the attached recommendation submitted to the Georgia Public Service Commission by the agency's staff regarding the change-of-law docket.

The Georgia staff recommended that BellSouth's Motion for Summary Judgment (identical to the Motion filed by BellSouth in Tennessee) be denied, without prejudice, pending the final outcome of the Georgia hearing. The Staff wrote:

The Staff recommends that the Commission deny without prejudice as to the merits of the issues both BellSouth's Motion for Summary Judgement and the Cross Motion filed by the Joint CLECs. Given the complexity of the issues, it is not clear at this point that the issues are purely legal. The Staff believes that the Commission would be in a better position to resolve these issues after it has had the benefit of an evidentiary hearing. Moreover, given that the hearings will take place anyway, and that one round of testimony has already been filed, any efficiencies in addressing a portion of the issues would not be substantial. The Staff emphasizes that adoption of its recommendation should not limit or presuppose in any way the Commission's ultimate consideration of these issues in this docket.

Very truly yours,

BOULT, CUMMINGS, CONNERS & BERRY, PLC

Henry Walker I de

HW/djc Enclosure

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Guy M. Hicks BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 333 Commerce Street, Ste. 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300

James Murphy Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry 1600 Division Street, Ste. 700 Nashville, TN 37203

Ed Phillips United Telephone –Southeast 1411 Capitol Blvd. Wake Forest, NC 27587

H. LaDon Baltimore Farrar & Bates 211 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue North, Ste. 320 Nashville, TN 37219-1823

John Heitmann Kelley, Drye & Warren 1900 19<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Ste. 500 Washington, DC 20036

Charles B. Welch Farris, Mathews, et al. 618 Church Street, Ste. 300 Nashville, TN 37219

Dana Shafer XO Communications, Inc. 105 Malloy Street, Ste. 100 Nashville, TN 37201

on this the 12 day of August, 2005.

Henry M. Walker

R-1. DOCKET NO. 19341-U: Generic Proceeding to Examine Issues Related to BellSouth's Obligations to Provide Unbundled Network Elements. Consideration of Motion by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for a Summary Judgment or Declaratory Ruling and Cross-Motion by Joint CLECs' for a Summary Judgment or Declaratory Ruling. (Shaun Rosemond, Dan Walsh)

## **Background**

The Georgia Public Service Commission ("Commission") initiated this docket on August 24, 2004 in response to separately filed petitions for declaratory ruling. On June 1, 2005, BellSouth filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative Motion for Declaratory Ruling. BellSouth's position is that a majority of the outstanding issues can be grouped into two categories—those that are purely legal and those that have mixed questions of law and fact (Motion 6). For those issues that are purely legal matters, BellSouth requested that the Commission issue a summary judgment; for those issues that have mixed questions of law and fact, BellSouth requested that Commission state what the law is either by granting partial summary judgment or issuing a declaratory ruling, so that the factual disputes can be resolved in the proper context. The Staff recommended that the Commission adopt a schedule for responses to the Motion for Summary Judgement and BellSouth's reply to any such responses.

On July 1, 2005, the Commission received a Response to BellSouth's Motion and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgement from Southeastern Competitive Carriers Association, US LEC of Georgia and XO Communications Services. The Response stated that the Joint CLECs were amenable to the removal of issues 7 and 14 from the proceeding. Cbeyond Communications filed a letter in Support of the Response. Responses to BellSouth's Motion were also filed on July 1, 2005 by Sprint Communications Company, LP. and Southern Digital Networks d/b/a FDN Communications. BellSouth filed its Reply to these Motions on July 15, 2005.

## Staff Recommendation

The Staff recommends that the Commission deny without prejudice as to the merits of the issues both BellSouth's Motion for Summary Judgement and the Cross Motion filed by the Joint CLECs. Given the complexity of the issues, it is not clear at this point that the issues are purely legal. The Staff believes that the Commission would be in a better position to resolve these issues after it has had the benefit of an evidentiary hearing. Moreover, given that the hearings will take place anyway, and that one round of testimony has already been filed, any efficiencies in addressing a portion of the issues would not be substantial. The Staff emphasizes that adoption of its recommendation should not limit or presuppose in any way the Commission's ultimate consideration of these issues in this docket.

The Staff also recommends that the Commission remove Issues 7 and 14 from the proceeding. The Joint CLEC Response identified these issues as no longer being live controversies and no party to the proceeding has objected to that characterization.